

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/624,073	07/21/2003	Truong Nguyen	YAZ-171-A	5149	
7:	590 10/13/2004		EXAMINER		
Thomas N. Young			PRASAD, CH	PRASAD, CHANDRIKA	
YOUNG & BA	SILE P.C.				
Suite 624			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
3001 West Big Beaver Road			2839		
Troy, MI 480					

DATE MAILED: 10/13/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		$\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{C}}$				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summan	10/624,073	NGUYEN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Chandrika Prasad	2839				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>13 S</u>	Sentember 2004	•				
·=						
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 and 5-11 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 and 5-11 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat nty documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/21/03. 	_	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendments

1. The reply filed 9/13/04 consists of amendments to claims 1-3, 5, 7, cancellation of claim 4, addition of a new claim 11 and remarks related to rejection of claims. The claims are not allowable as explained below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-3 and 5-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jozwiak et al. (5668698).

Jozwiak (Figures 1-3) shows an electrical connector having a first connector body 10 made of molded plastic with interior walls defining an interior volume and first and second axially opposite ends, a plurality of wire channels with conductive terminals connected to wires 11, printed circuit board guide structures (guide slot 38) to receive bar 60 of a circuit board 54 with edge contacts 50, 52 in contact with conductive terminals, a second connector body made of molded plastic with interior walls, first and second opposite ends, and conductive terminals 184. The two connector bodies are latched together by latch arm 24 and 196. The first connector body fits telescopically into the second connector body. The bar 60 and guide slot 38 provide position assurance for the first connector body and the printed circuit board. Position assurance

Art Unit: 2839

is furthermore provided by walls 142, 144 which are at right angles to an axis extending between the two ends of the first connector body. The connector includes a latching mechanism to include arms 16 at one end of the first connector body. The circuit board carries at least one intelligent circuit component 110.

But Jozwiak does not show a plurality of wire channels in the second connector body. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instant invention to provide such a feature as shown for the first connector body on the second connector body because this would require a mere duplication of an essential part which involve only routine skill in the art. St. Regis Co. vs. Bemis co., 193 USPQ 8. Furthermore, Jozwiak does not show notch in the circuit board and bards on the first connector body and the printed circuit board in the first connector body. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instant invention to provide such a feature as shown for the first connector body on the second connector body because this would require a mere rearrangement of parts which involve only routine skill in the art.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP

Application/Control Number: 10/624,073 Page 4

Art Unit: 2839

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Contact Information

6. Any correspondence to this action may be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents Post Office Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chandrika Prasad at (571) 272-2099. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor can be reached at (571) 272-2800 ext 39. The fax number is (703) 872-9306.

Chandrika Prasad Primary examiner October 7, 2004